

FALLS CHURCH, VA 22041

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. BHT-3112-130 10/618,747 07/15/2003 Shuie-Yuan Lee 6928 **EXAMINER** 7590 04/23/2004 **BRUCE H. TROXELL** HOEY, ALISSA L **SUITE 1404** ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER **5205 LEESBURG PIKE**

3765

DATE MAILED: 04/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)
	10/618,747	LEE, SHUIE-YUAN
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit
	Alissa L. Hoey	3765
The MAILING DATE f this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 July 2003.		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
 4) Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 		
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 		
Attachment(s)		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/618,747

Art Unit: 3765

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: should "age gets old" on page 1, line 10, read "aging"? It is unclear what is meant by "knots decorates the gap" in line 13. Should "both wear" on page 1, line 17 read "worn by both"? On page 1, line 20 should "and" read "but"? On page 1, line 22, should "inv ntor" read "inventor"? On page two, the last line should "th" read "the" and "panti s" read "panties"? On page 3, line 6 it is unclear what is meant by "..trimmed so that the lines of the body of the panties 10 do not fall off." On page 3, line 8 should "authentic ness" be one word?

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

- 2. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: should "which" in line one be deleted to read "a style of exotic panty, comprising:"? Appropriate correction is required.
- 3. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: should "locating" in line 4, read "located"? Appropriate correction is required.
- 4. Claim 2 is objected to because of the following informalities: It is unclear which "said opening" you are referring to, the upper, sides or the one located between the two side openings? Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/618,747

Art Unit: 3765

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hancock (US 6,209,143).

Hancock provides a panty garment having a body portion with an upper opening and two side openings (column 2, lines 23-58). An opening located between the two side openings wherein the opening is at eh position of the genital (figures 1 and 5, identifiers 12and 52). The circumference of the opening between the two side openings is well decorated and designed (figure 5, identifiers 52 and 53, column 5, lines 65-68 through column 6, lines 1-6).

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Azzam, Shover, Herbener, Carver, Southwell, Baehr, Rokasky, McQueen, Fleming, Jr., Li., Doubleday, Thrower, Carter-Scott-Pomije, Kang, Cosmah, Robles, Vitches, Frazier and Vartanyan are all cited to show closely related garments.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alissa L. Hoey whose telephone number is (703) 308-6094. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00-5:30)Second Friday Off.

Application/Control Number: 10/618,747 Page 4

Art Unit: 3765

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Calvert can be reached on (703) 305-1025. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alissa L. Hoey
Patent Examiner
Technology Center 3700